

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS . P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 10/719,734 | 11/21/2003 | Solomon S. Steiner | PDT120121DIVCON | 3538 |
| 23579 75 | 90 07/28/2006 | EXAMINER | | |
| PATREA L. PABST PABST PATENT GROUP LLP | | | ALSTRUM ACEVEDO, JAMES HENRY | |
| 400 COLONY SQUARE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SUITE 1200 | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| ATLANTA, G | A 30361 | | DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. STEINER ET AL. 10/719.734 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner James H. Alstrum-Acevedo 1616 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Ms. Rivka D. Monheit; Ms. Patricia Pabst. (1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo (Examiner). (2) Johann R. Richter, Ph. D., J. D. (SPE). (4) Dr. David Diamond. Date of Interview: 25 July 2006. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 33. Identification of prior art discussed: No specific prior art references were explicitly discussed. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/719,734

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Pabst discussed the background to the claimed invention; highlighted that the claims of the instant application claim a diketopiperazine complexed to monomeric or dimeric insulin; and explained the differences between Applicants' diketopiperazine/insulin complexes and insulin encapsulated by diketopiperazine. The Examiner's attention was directed to Examples 1 and 3 of the instant application. The general differences between the prior art and the instant application were discussed, especially the meaning of the words "encapsulated" and "complexed".